



092/01664 A05

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: A. REFUAH, et al.
Serial Number: 09/601,385
Filed: July 28, 2000
For: PERSONALIZED INTERNET INTERACTION BY ADAPTING A PAGE
FORMAT TO A USER RECORD
Art Unit: 2151
Examiner: Dinh, Khanh Q

Mail-Stop Petition,
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.181
TO WITHDRAW FINALITY

Sir:

In the above referenced application, the Examiner issued a final office action which does not meet the standards of the US patent office for issuing an office action and certainly not a final action and does not serve in forwarding the application towards allowance. The office action does not relate to applicants' remarks and in fact does not relate to the language of the claims in more than simply citing them. Therefore, the office action does not serve in developing a clear issue between applicants and the Examiner, as required by MPEP 706.07. As the present application is already pending over five and a half years, applicants are petitioning for withdrawal of the office action and issuance of a corrected office action which meets the standards of the USPTO.

Reasons of petition

In response to a previous office action, applicants amended the only independent claim in the application, claim 136, by adding the clause: "(b) providing to the site, a user profile record of the user transmitting the request, by an entity not associated with the site;".

In addition, applicants argued dependent claims 117, 138, 158 and 164, of which claims 158 and 164 are new.

In the present office action, issued December 30, 2005, the Examiner included a section titled "response to arguments", but did not relate in this section to any of applicants' arguments. The only argument to which the Examiner related was to an argument that applicants did not present at all. In fact, the argument to which the Examiner related refers to an amendment of

claim 136 which applicants specifically stated broadens the claim, and was not presented in any way as overcoming the art of record.

Regarding the dependent claims, the Examiner stated that they are rejected "at least by virtue of their dependency" and by other reasons set forth in the previous office action. Applicants note that dependency on an allowed claim can be a reason for allowance of a dependent claim. However, it is not a reason for rejection of a dependent claim over the art.

The Examiner did not relate to applicant's arguments regarding claim 117, but merely repeated the rejection. Neither did the Examiner relate to applicant's arguments regarding claims 138, 158 and 164.

In a telephone conversation on January 25, 2006, between applicant's agent and the Examiner, applicant's agent queried as to why there was no relation to applicant's arguments in the rejection. In response, the Examiner pointed to the citations from Gerace in the rejection itself as explaining the rejection. This does not meet the standards outlined in the MPEP.

In the rejection section of the office action, however, the Examiner merely cited the newly added limitation and did not provide any citation or explanation of the rejection. For the entire claim, the Examiner related to the same passages of the prior art as in the previous office action, with no changes due to the amended wording (except some reorganization due to the changed wording).

Applicants submit that in the absence of a properly formulated final rejection it is impossible to determine if an appeal should be filed, not to mention preparing a reasonable appeal brief.


Conclusion

In the interest of speeding up the prosecution of the present application, which is pending for over five and a half years, applicants respectfully request retraction of the pending final office action and issuance of a corrected office action.

Applicants believe that no fee is required for this petition. However, if the office deems otherwise, please charge deposit account number 03-3419 for the appropriate fee

Respectfully submitted,

A. REFUAH et al.


Paul FENSTER
Registration No. 33,877

February 16, 2006

William H. Dippert, Esq.
Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen LLP
250 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10177
Tel: (212) 986-1116



PTO/SB92 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office; U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Certificate of Express Mail Under 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service Express Mail under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated below and is addressed to:

Mail Stop: PETITION
Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

EV793700112US

on February 16, 2006

Date

Express Mail Label


Geraldine Gress

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP
250 Park Avenue
10th Floor
New York, New York 10177-0030
Telephone: 212.986.1116; Facsimile: 212.986.0604

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Applicant: Aviv Refuah et al
Serial No: 09/601,385
Filing Date: July 28, 2000
For: Personalized Internet Interaction By Adapting A Page Format To A User Record
Enclosures: (1) Transmittal Letter (in duplicate);
(2) Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.181 To Withdraw Finality (3 pages);
(3) Acknowledgement postcard

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



TRANSMITTAL LETTER
(General - Patent Pending)

Docket No.
092/01664

In Re Application of: **Aviv REFUAH et al.**

Application No.
09/601,385

Filing Date
July 28, 2000

Examiner
Dinh, Khanh Q.

Customer No.
44909

Group Art Unit
2151

Confirmation No.
2933

Title: **PERSONALIZED INTERNET INTERACTION BY ADAPTING A PAGE FORMAT TO A USER RECORD**

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

Transmitted herewith is:

Petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to Withdraw Finality

in the above identified application.

- ☒ No additional fee is required.
- ☐ A check in the amount of _____ is attached.
- ☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 03-3419 as described below.
- ☐ Charge the amount of _____
- ☒ Credit any overpayment.
- ☒ Charge any additional fee required.
- ☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Paul Fenster

Signature

Dated: February 16, 2006

Paul FENSTER, Reg. No. 33,877

William H. Dippert, Esq.
Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen LLP
250 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10177
Tel: (212) 986 1116

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on

(Date)

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

cc: